

The Casual Characteristics of Offset Curves of a Non-Null Curve and Fibonacci Sequence

Şeyda KILIÇOĞLU^a, Süleyman ŞENYURT^b

^aDepartment of Mathematics, Başkent University, Ankara, Türkiye

^bDepartment of Mathematics, Ordu University, Ordu, Türkiye

Abstract. Fibonacci sequence is a very interesting sequence. In this paper, first the casual characteristics of the involute curve, and Mannheim partner of a non-null curve are examined. We find that the number of different forms of the casual characteristics of n^{th} order involute and Mannheim mate of a spacelike curve can be given using Fibonacci sequence with in a table. Also the kind of the casual characteristics of the n^{th} order Bertrand mate are examined. It is found that timelike Bertrand curve has 2^n different forms, and the n^{th} order Bertrand mate of a spacelike Bertrand curve with *timelike* principal normal is always spacelike Bertrand curve with timelike principal normal.

1. Introduction and Preliminaries

Bertrand mate, involute curve, Mannheim partner of a curve are well-known concepts in E^3 . Second order Mannheim partner and the second order involute curves in Euclidean 3-space are examined in [5] and [3], respectively. In this study, the casual characteristics of Bertrand mate α_B , involute curve α_I , Mannheim partner α_M of a non-null curve α are examined in 3-dimensional Lorentz space with notation IL^3 . Also the kind of the casual characteristics of the n^{th} order Bertrand mate, involute curve, Mannheim partner of a non-null curve are given in a table with the number of different forms of casual characteristics. In 3-dimensional Lorentz space IL^3 . is known Lorentz metric with index one, and $\{IR^3, \langle, \rangle\}$ is 3-dimensional Lorentz space with notation IL^3 . For $X \in IL^3$; the casual characteristics of any vector X , are

- i) if $\langle X, X \rangle > 0$, X is spacelike vector
- ii) if $\langle X, X \rangle < 0$, X is timelike vector
- iii) if $\langle X, X \rangle = 0$, X is light-like or null vector.

Also $\|X\| = \sqrt{|\langle X, X \rangle|}$ is the norm of vector X [11]. In 3-dimensional Lorentz space IL^3 .

$$\langle X, Y \rangle = -x_1y_2 + x_2y_2 + x_3y_3 \quad (1)$$

is known Lorentz metric with index one, and $\{IR^3, \langle, \rangle\}$ is 3-dimensional Lorentz space with notation IL^3 . Vectorel product of X and Y is

$$X \wedge Y = (x_3y_2 - x_2y_3, x_1y_3 - x_3y_1, x_1y_2 - x_2y_1) \quad (2)$$

Corresponding author: SŞ mail address: senyurtsuleyman52@gmail.com ORCID:0000-0003-1097-5541, ŞK ORCID::0000-0003-0252-1574

Received: 05 October 2023; Accepted: 22 November 2023; Published: 31 December 2023

Keywords. Lorentz metric; casual characteristic; involute curve; Bertrand mate; Mannheim partner

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 53A04

Cited this article as: Kılıçoğlu, Ş., & Şenyurt, S. (2023). The Casual Characteristics of Offset Curves of a Non-Null Curve and Fibonacci Sequence, Turkish Journal of Science, 8(3), 136-146.

Bertrand curve was discovered by J. Bertrand in 1850. A Bertrand curve is defined as a special curve which shares its principal normals with another special curve called Bertrand mate. Bertrand curves have the following fundamental properties; which are given in more detail in [4], and [8]. Let α and α_B be the arclengthed curves with the parameters s and s_1 , with the Frenet vector fields T, N, B and T_B, N_B, B_B , respectively, in E^3 . Two curves $\{\alpha, \alpha_B\}$ are called Bertrand pairs curves if they have common principal normal lines [2, 6, 8]. Also α_B is called Bertrand mate. If the curve α_B is Bertrand mate of α , then we may write that

$$\alpha_B(s) = \alpha(s) + \lambda N(s) \tag{3}$$

and $|\lambda|$ is the distance between the arclengthed curves α and α_B . Since $\{N, N_B\}$ are linear depended, so we have the equations $N = N_B$, and $\langle T_B, N \rangle = 0$. Also if the curve α_B is Bertrand mate α , then we have that $\langle T_B, T \rangle = \cos \theta = \text{constant}$. The Frenet vector fields T_B, N_B, B_B and $T_{B_2}, N_{B_2}, B_{B_2}$ which are belong to the curves $\alpha_B(s)$ and $\alpha_{B_2}(s_{12})$ with the arcparametres s_1 and s_{12} , respectively. Two curves $\{\alpha_B, \alpha_{B_2}\}$ are called Bertrand pairs curves if they have common principal normal lines [2, 6, 8, 9]. So α_{B_2} is Bertrand mate of Bertrand mate of α . $\alpha_B(s) = \alpha(s) + \lambda N(s)$. Also α_{B_2} is called second order Bertrand mate of α , then we may write that

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{B_2}(s) &= \alpha_B(s) + \lambda_1 N_B(s) & (4) \\ &= \alpha(s) + \lambda N(s) + \lambda_1 N_B(s) & (5) \end{aligned}$$

and $|\lambda_1|$ is the distance between the arclengthed curves α_B and α_{B_2} . Third order Bertrand mate of α is Bertrand mate of second order Bertrand mate of α , also it can be written as

$$\alpha_{B_3}(s) = \alpha(s) + \lambda(s)T(s) + \lambda_1(s)N(s) + \lambda_2 N_{B_2} \tag{6}$$

since $\{N_B, N_{B_2}\}$ are linear depended, so we have the equations $N_B = N_{B_2}$ and $\langle T_{B_2}, N_B \rangle = 0$. In similiar way n^{th} order Bertrand mate of Bertrand curve α_B is n times Bertrand mate of Bertrand curve of α_B and written as

$$\alpha_{B_n}(s) = \alpha(s) + \lambda(s)N(s) + \lambda_1(s)N_B(s) + \dots + \lambda_{n-1}N_{B(n-1)} \tag{7}$$

Involute-evolute curves are studied in differential geometry books in Euclidean 3-space. The involute of the curve is called sometimes the evolvent. Involvents play a part in the construction of gears. The evolute is the locus of the centers of tangent circles of the given planar curve [6]. In Lorentz space there are two kind of non-null curve, which are timelike and spacelike. Some characterizations for the pair of involute-evolute curves in [1]. Let $\alpha_1 : I \rightarrow IL^3$ be unit speed curve with Frenet-Serret vectors $\{T_1, N_1, B_1\}$. If the tangent vector T of the curve α is lines to perpendicular on the tangent vector T_1 of the curve α_1 , hence if a curve α_2 is an involute of α and then it has the equation,

$$\alpha_1(s) = \alpha(s) + (c - s)T(s), \tag{8}$$

where $c = \text{constant}$. [2, 6, 7], that is Frenet vectors give us $N = T_1$ and $\langle T, T_1 \rangle = 0$. $\alpha_2(s_2)$ is the involute of the curve $\alpha(s)$. Let $\alpha_{12}(s_2)$ be the involute of the involute of curve $\alpha(s)$, also α_{12} is called the second order involute curve α ,

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{12}(s) &= \alpha_1(s) + \lambda_{12}T_{12}(s) & (9) \\ &= \alpha(s) + (c - s)T(s) + (c_2 - s)N(s) & (10) \end{aligned}$$

is the parametrization of second order involute curve, since $\alpha_1(s) = \alpha(s) + (c - s)T(s)$. Third order involute curve of an evolute α is the involute curve of second order involute curve and can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{13}(s) &= \alpha_{22}(s) + \lambda_{12}T_{12} \\ &= \alpha(s) + (c - s)T(s) + (c_2 - s)N(s) + \lambda_{12}T_{12} \end{aligned}$$

In similiar way n times involute of an evolute α_I is called n^{th} order involute of evolute α_I and can be written as

$$\alpha_{In}(s) = \alpha(s) + (c - s)T(s) + (c_2 - s)N(s) + \lambda_{I2}T_{I2} + \dots + \lambda_{I(n-1)}T_{I(n-1)}. \tag{11}$$

The Mannheim curve was first defined by A. Mannheim in 1878. A curve is called a Mannheim curve if and only if $\frac{k_1}{(k_1^2+k_2^2)}$ is a nonzero constant, k_1 is the curvature and k_2 is the torsion. Recently, a new definition of the associated curves were given by Liu and Wang [10]. Mannheim curve was redefined by Liu and Wang. According to this new definition, if the the principal normal vector of the first curve and binormal vector of second curve are linearly dependent, then first curve is called Mannheim curve, and the second curve is called the Mannheim partner curve. As a result, they called these new curves as Mannheim partner curves. For more detail see in [10]. Let $\alpha_M : I \rightarrow E^3$ be the C^2 - class differentiable unit speed with $\{T_M, N_M, B_M\}$ be the Frenet frames. If the principal normal vector N of the curve α is linearly dependent on the binormal vector B_M of the curve α_M , then the pair $\{\alpha, \alpha_M\}$ is said to be Mannheim pair, then α is called a Mannheim curve and α_M is called Mannheim partner curve of α . Mannheim partner curve of α can be represented $\alpha = \alpha_M + \lambda B_M$. for some function λ_M , since N and B_M are linearly dependent, the equation can be rewritten as

$$\alpha_M(s) = \alpha(s) - \lambda_M B_M(s). \tag{12}$$

Also $N = B_M, \langle B_M, T \rangle = 0, \langle T, T_M \rangle = \cos \theta$ and besides the equality $\lambda_M = \frac{k_1}{k_1^2+k_2^2} = \text{constant}$ is known the offset property, for some non-zero constant, for more detail, see in.[12].

Let $\{\alpha, \alpha_M\}$ and $\{\alpha_M, \alpha_{M2}\}$ be the Mannheim pairs of α and α_M repectively. We called as α_{M2} is a *Second order Mannheim partner* of the curve α , which has the following parametrization,

$$\alpha_{M2} = \alpha + \lambda_M \sin \theta T - \lambda N + \lambda_M \cos \theta B \tag{13}$$

since $\alpha_M(s) = \alpha(s) - \lambda_M B_M(s)$. Let $\{\alpha, \alpha_M\}$ and $\{\alpha_M, \alpha_{M2}\}$ and $\{\alpha_{M2}, \alpha_{M3}\}$ be the Mannheim pairs of α_M, α_{M2} and α_{M3} repectively. We called as α_{M3} is a *third order Mannheim partner* of the curve α . which has the following parametrizations, *third order Mannheim partner* α_{M3} can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{M3}(s) &= \alpha_{M2} - \lambda_{M2} B_{M2} \\ &= \alpha + \lambda_M \sin \theta T - \lambda N + \lambda_M \cos \theta B - \lambda_{M2} B_{M2}. \end{aligned}$$

In similiar way; n times Mannheim partner of Mannheim curve α_M is called, n^{th} order Mannheim partner of Mannheim curve α_M .

2. The casual characteristics of offset curves of a non-null curve and Fibonacci sequence

First, the casual characteristics of higher order involute of a non null curve will be examined in IL^3 . The casual characteristics of higher order involute of a non null curve in IL^3 can be given as in the following table.

Theorem 2.1. *The casual characteristics of higher order involute of a spacelike curve with timelike normal, can be*

given as in the following table, in IL^3 ;

evolute 1 <i>diff</i>	involute 1 <i>diff</i>	2nd involute 2 <i>diff</i>	3rd involute 3 <i>diff</i>	4th involute 5 <i>diff</i>	5th involute 8 <i>diff</i>	6th involute 5 + 8 <i>diff</i>	
						sst	
						<	
						sts	
						→ tss	
						sst	
					< sst	< sst	
					sts	sts	
					→ tss	→ sst	
sts	→ tss		< sst	< sst	→ tss	→ sts	
		sst	< sts	→ tss	< sst	→ tss	
		sts	→ tss	< sst	< sts	sst
				< sts	< sst	<	
				sts	→ tss	sts	
						→ tss	
						sst	
						sts	

Proof. For a *spacelike* evolute curve with *timelike* normal N , binormal B is *spacelike*.

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} T \text{ spacelike} & N \text{ timelike} & B \text{ spacelike} \\ s & t & s \end{array} \right\} \tag{14}$$

Since $\langle T, T_1 \rangle = 0$ and $T_1 = N$ (*timelike*), it is trivial that T_1 must be *timelike*. The involute of a *spacelike* curve with *timelike* normal is always *timelike* curve.

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} T_1 \text{ timelike} & N_1 \text{ spacelike} & B_1 \text{ spacelike} \\ t & s & s \end{array} \right\} \tag{15}$$

Hence a *spacelike* evolute curve with *timelike* normal N , has the casual characteristics as in the following form

$$\begin{array}{cc} \text{evolute} & \text{involute} \\ \text{sts} & \rightarrow \quad \text{tss} \end{array} \tag{16}$$

For a *spacelike* evolute curve with *timelike* binormal, principal normal N is *spacelike*, hence

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} T \text{ spacelike} & N \text{ spacelike} & B \text{ timelike} \\ s & s & t \end{array} \right\} \tag{17}$$

Since $\langle T, T_1 \rangle = 0$ and $T_1 = N$ (*spacelike*), tangent T_1 must be *spacelike*. Hence the involute of a *spacelike* curve with *timelike* binormal is always *spacelike* curve. So normal N_1 and binormal B_1 must be *spacelike*

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} \text{Tangent } T_1 & \text{Normal } N_1 & \text{Binormal } B_1 \\ s & s & t \\ s & t & s \end{array} \right\} \tag{18}$$

The casual characteristics of *spacelike* evolute and *spacelike* involute have the following two forms

$$\begin{array}{cc} \text{evolute} & \text{involute} \\ \text{sst} & < \quad \text{sst} \\ & \text{sts} \end{array} \tag{19}$$

For a *timelike* evolute curve with *timelike* tangent vector T , normal vector N and binormal vector B are *spacelike*, lets use the following table

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} T \text{ timelike} & N \text{ spacelike} & B \text{ spacelike} \\ t & s & s \end{array} \right\} \tag{20}$$

for evolute-involute curve we know that $N = T_I$ and $\langle T, T_I \rangle = 0$. Since tangent vector T is timelike and two timelike vectors are never orthogonal, T_I is not timelike, hence it is trivial that a timeline curve has always spacelike involute curve. Further a timelike evolute curve has spacelike involute curve with timelike normal N_I , or timelike normal B_I .

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} \text{Tangent } T_I & \text{Normal } N_I & \text{Binormal } B_I \\ s & s & t \\ s & t & s \end{array} \right\} \tag{21}$$

The casual characteristics of timelike evolute and spacelike involute have the following two forms

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{evolute} & \text{involute} & \\ \text{tss} & < \begin{array}{c} \text{sst} \\ \text{sts} \end{array} & \end{array} \tag{22}$$

The casual characteristics of Second order involute curve of *spacelike* evolute with timelike normal N , is a spacelike curve with *timelike* binormal, or *spacelike* binormal. If we use the form tables 16, 19 and 22 we have the following

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{evolute} & \text{involute} & \text{2}^{nd}\text{ involute} \\ & \text{1 different} & \text{2 different} \\ \text{sts} & \text{tss} & < \begin{array}{c} \text{sst} \\ \text{sts} \end{array} \end{array} \tag{23}$$

It is trivial from the 16, 19 , 22 and 23. If we go on we have the table with casual characteristics of higher order involute of a spacelike curve with timelike normal. \square

Corollary 2.2. *The casual characteristics of higher order involute of a timelike curve has the following table*

evolute 1 diff	involute 2 diff	2 nd involute 3 diff	3 rd involute 5 diff	4 th involute 8 diff	5 th involute 5 + 8 diff	
					sst	
					<	
					sts	
					→ tss	
				< sst	→ sst	
				< sts	→ sts	
→ tss	sst	< sst	< sst	→ tss	→ tss	
	sts	< sts	→ tss	< sst	sst	
		→ tss	< sst	< sts	<	...
			< sts	< sst	sts	
			< sts	< sts	→ tss	
				→ tss	sst	
					sts	
					< sst	
					< sts	

Definition 2.3. In mathematics, the Fibonacci numbers are the numbers in the following integer sequence, called the Fibonacci sequence, and characterized by the fact that every number after the first two is the sum of the two preceding ones:

$$\{1, 1, 2, 3, 5, 8, 13, 21, 34, 55, 89, 144, \dots\} \tag{24}$$

Theorem 2.4. The number of the different type the casual characteristics of n^{th} order involute of a spacelike curve timelike normal can be given using Fibonacci numbers.

Proof. In each step for tss and sst, there are two options, but for sts there is only one form so we can write the numbers of the forms by one by as in the following way

$$\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 1 \\ & 2 = 2.1 + 0.1 \\ & 2 + 1 = 2.1 + 1.1 \\ & 2 + 1 + 2 = 2.2 + 1.1 \\ & 2 + 1 + 2 + 2 + 1 = 2.3 + 2.1 \\ & 2 + 1 + 2 + 2 + 2 + 2 + 1 = 2.5 + 2.1 \end{aligned}$$

so we can write the following sequence

$$\begin{aligned} a_0 &= 1 \\ a_1 &= 1 = 2.0 + 1.1 \\ a_2 &= 2 = 2.1 + 0.1 \\ a_3 &= 3 = 2.1 + 1.1 = 2.a_1 + a_0.1 \\ a_4 &= 5 = 2.2 + 1.1 = 2.a_2 + a_1.1 \\ a_5 &= 8 = 2.3 + 2.1 = 2.a_3 + a_2.1 \\ a_6 &= 13 = 2.5 + 3.1 = 2.a_4 + a_3.1 \\ a_7 &= 21 = 2.8 + 5.1 = 2.a_5 + a_4.1 \end{aligned}$$

$$a_n = 2.a_{n-2} + a_{n-3}.1$$

with so its general term is

$$a_n = 2.a_{n-2} + a_{n-3}.1, \quad n \geq 3. \tag{25}$$

Hence

$$a_{n+1} = 2.a_{n-1} + a_{n-2}.1 \tag{26}$$

and if we write and add the first two terms

$$\begin{aligned} a_n &= 2.a_{n-2} + a_{n-3}.1, \\ a_{n-1} &= 2.a_{n-3} + a_{n-4}.1 \end{aligned}$$

we find

$$\begin{aligned} a_n + a_{n-1} &= 2.a_{n-2} + a_{n-3}.1 + 2.a_{n-3} + a_{n-4}.1 \\ &= 2.a_{n-2} + 2.a_{n-3} + a_{n-3}.1 + a_{n-4}.1 \\ &= 2(a_{n-2} + a_{n-3}) + (a_{n-3} + a_{n-4}) \\ &= 2a_{n-1} + a_{n-2} \\ &= a_{n+1}. \end{aligned}$$

When we calculate the number of the different forms we find the Fibonacci numbers. This complete the proof. \square

The casual characteristics of higher order Mannheim partner of a non null Mannheim curve in IL^3 can be given as in the following table. For a non-null Mannheim curve there are the following forms;

Theorem 2.5. *The the casual characteristics of n^{th} order Mannheim partner of a spacelike curve with timelike normal can be given as in the following table, in IL^3 .*

Mann. curve	Mann. part.	2 nd Mann. part.	3 rd Mann. part.	4 th Mann. part.	5 th Mann. part.	6 th Mann. part.	
1 dif	1 dif	2 dif	3 dif	2 + 3 dif	3 + 5 diff	5 + 8 dif	
						tss <	
						sts →	
						sst <	
						tss <	
						sts →	
				tss <		sts →	
				sts →		sst <	
				sst <		sts →	
				tss <		sst <	
				sts →		tss <	
				sst <		sts →	
				tss <		sst <	
				sts →		tss <	
				sst <		sts →	
				tss <		sst <	
				sts →		tss <	
				sst <		sts →	
				tss <		sst <	
				sts →		tss <	
				sst <		sts →	
				tss <		sst <	
				sts →		tss <	
				sst <		sts →	
				tss <		sst <	
				sts →		tss <	
				sst <		sts →	
				tss <		sst <	
				sts →		tss <	
				sst <		sts →	
				tss <		sst <	
				sts →		tss <	
				sst <		sts →	
				tss <		sst <	
				sts →		tss <	
				sst <		sts →	
				tss <		sst <	
				sts →		tss <	
				sst <		sts →	
				tss <		sst <	
				sts →		tss <	
				sst <		sts →	
				tss <		sst <	
				sts →		tss <	
				sst <		sts →	
				tss <		sst <	
				sts →		tss <	
				sst <		sts →	
				tss <		sst <	
				sts →		tss <	
				sst <		sts →	
				tss <		sst <	
				sts →		tss <	
				sst <		sts →	
				tss <		sst <	
				sts →		tss <	
				sst <		sts →	
				tss <		sst <	
				sts →		tss <	
				sst <		sts →	
				tss <		sst <	
				sts →		tss <	
				sst <		sts →	
				tss <		sst <	
				sts →		tss <	
				sst <		sts →	
				tss <		sst <	
				sts →		tss <	
				sst <		sts →	
				tss <		sst <	
				sts →		tss <	
				sst <		sts →	
				tss <		sst <	
				sts →		tss <	
				sst <		sts →	
				tss <		sst <	
				sts →		tss <	
				sst <		sts →	
				tss <		sst <	
				sts →		tss <	
				sst <		sts →	
				tss <		sst <	
				sts →		tss <	
				sst <		sts →	
				tss <		sst <	
				sts →		tss <	
				sst <		sts →	
				tss <		sst <	
				sts →		tss <	
				sst <		sts →	
				tss <		sst <	
				sts →		tss <	
				sst <		sts →	
				tss <		sst <	
				sts →		tss <	
				sst <		sts →	
				tss <		sst <	
				sts →		tss <	
				sst <		sts →	
				tss <		sst <	
				sts →		tss <	
				sst <		sts →	
				tss <		sst <	
				sts →		tss <	
				sst <		sts →	
				tss <		sst <	
				sts →		tss <	
				sst <		sts →	
				tss <		sst <	
				sts →		tss <	
				sst <		sts →	
				tss <		sst <	
				sts →		tss <	
				sst <		sts →	
				tss <		sst <	
				sts →		tss <	
				sst <		sts →	
				tss <		sst <	
				sts →		tss <	
				sst <		sts →	
				tss <		sst <	
				sts →		tss <	
				sst <		sts →	
				tss <		sst <	
				sts →		tss <	
				sst <		sts →	
				tss <		sst <	
				sts →		tss <	
				sst <		sts →	
				tss <		sst <	
				sts →		tss <	
				sst <		sts →	
				tss <		sst <	
				sts →		tss <	
				sst <		sts →	
				tss <		sst <	
				sts →		tss <	
				sst <		sts →	
				tss <		sst <	
				sts →		tss <	
				sst <		sts →	
				tss <		sst <	
				sts →		tss <	
				sst <		sts →	
				tss <		sst <	
				sts →		tss <	
				sst <		sts →	
				tss <		sst <	
				sts →		tss <	
				sst <		sts →	
				tss <		sst <	
				sts →		tss <	
				sst <		sts →	
				tss <		sst <	
				sts →		tss <	
				sst <		sts →	
				tss <		sst <	
				sts →		tss <	
				sst <		sts →	
				tss <		sst <	
				sts →		tss <	
				sst <		sts →	
				tss <		sst <	
				sts →		tss <	
				sst <		sts →	
				tss <		sst <	
				sts →		tss <	
				sst <		sts →	
				tss <		sst <	
				sts →		tss <	
				sst <		sts →	
				tss <		sst <	
				sts →		tss <	
				sst <		sts →	
				tss <		sst <	
				sts →		tss <	
				sst <		sts →	
				tss <		sst <	
				sts →		tss <	
				sst <		sts →	
				tss <		sst <	
				sts →		tss <	
				sst <		sts →	
				tss <		sst <	
				sts →		tss <	
				sst <		sts →	
				tss <		sst <	
				sts →		tss <	
				sst <		sts →	
				tss <		sst <	
				sts →		tss <	
				sst <		sts →	
				tss <		sst <	
				sts →		tss <	
				sst <		sts →	
				tss <		sst <	
				sts →		tss <	
				sst <		sts →	
				tss <		sst <	
				sts →		tss <	
				sst <		sts →	
				tss <		sst <	
				sts →		tss <	
				sst <		sts →	
				tss <		sst <	
				sts →		tss <	
				sst <		sts →	
				tss <		sst <	
				sts →		tss <	
				sst <		sts →	
				tss <		sst <	
				sts →		tss <	
				sst <		sts →	
				tss <		sst <	
				sts →		tss <	
				sst <		sts →	
				tss <		sst <	
				sts →		tss <	
				s			

Hence the casual characteristics of have the following two forms

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \text{Mann. curve} & \text{Mann. partner} & \\
 \text{sst} & < \begin{array}{c} \text{sts} \\ \text{tss} \end{array} & (33)
 \end{array}$$

For a *timelike* Mannheim curve with *timelike* tangent vector T , normal vector N and binormal vector B are *spacelike*, lets use the following table

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} T \text{ timelike} & N \text{ spacelike} & B \text{ spacelike} \\ t & s & s \end{array} \right\} \quad (34)$$

We know that for *Mannheim* pairs $N = B_M$ (*spacelike*) and $\langle N, T_M \rangle = 0$, hence it is trivial that; a *timelike* curve has *spacelike* or *time like* Mannheim partner with always *spacelike* binormal vector B_M ,

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} \text{Tangent } T_M & \text{Normal } N_M & \text{Binormal } B_M \\ s & t & s \\ t & s & s \end{array} \right\} \quad (35)$$

The casual characteristics of *timelike* Mannheim curve and Mannheim partner have the following two forms

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \text{Mann.curve} & \text{Mann.partner} & \\
 \text{tss} & < \begin{array}{c} \text{tss} \\ \text{sts} \end{array} & (36)
 \end{array}$$

The casual characteristics of Second order Mannheim partner of *spacelike* Mannheim curve with *timelike* normal N , is a *spacelike* curve with *timelike* binormal or *spacelike* binormal. It is trivial that

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \text{Mann. curve} & \text{Mann.part} & 2^{\text{nd}} \text{ order Mann.part} \\
 \text{sts} \rightarrow & \text{sst} < & \begin{array}{c} \text{tss} \\ \text{sts} \end{array}
 \end{array} \quad (37)$$

from the 30, 33 and 36. If we go on, we have the table with casual characteristics of higher order Mannheim partner of a *spacelike* curve with *timelike* normal, as a result, we have the proof. \square

Corollary 2.6. *The casual characteristics of higher order Mannheim partner of a timelike Mannheim curve has the following table*

Mann. curve	Mann. part. 2 dif.	2nd Mann. part. 3 dif.	3th Mann. part. 5 dif.	4th Mann. part. 8 dif.			
				$tss <$	$sts \rightarrow$	$sst <$	\dots
$tss <$	$tss <$ $sts \rightarrow$	$tss <$ $sts \rightarrow$ $sst <$	$tss <$ $sts \rightarrow$ $sst <$ $tss <$ $sts \rightarrow$	$tss <$ $sts \rightarrow$ $sst <$ $tss <$ $sts \rightarrow$ $sst <$	$tss <$ $sts \rightarrow$	$tss <$ $sts \rightarrow$ $sst <$	\dots

Theorem 2.7. *The number of the different type the casual characteristics of nth order Mannheim partner of a spacelike curve with timelike normal can be given using Fibonacci numbers.*

Proof. In each step for forms **sts** and **sst**, there are two options, but for the form **tss** there is only one form so we can write the numbers of the forms by one by as similiar in the theorem 2.2 using Fibonacci numbers. \square

2.1. The casual characteristics of higher order Bertrand mate

The casual characteristics of higher order Bertrand mate of a non-null Bertrand curve in IL^3 can be given as in the following table. For a non-null Bertrand mate of non-null Bertrand curves there are the following forms;

Theorem 2.8. *The number of the n^{th} order Bertrand mate with different type of casual characteristics of a spacelike Bertrand curve with timelike binormal and a timelike Bertrand curve is given as 2^n , also the n^{th} order Bertrand mate of a spacelike Bertrand curve with timelike principal normal is always spacelike curve with timelike principal normal.*

timelike Bert. curve	Bert. mate	2nd Bert. mate	3rd Bert. mate	4th Bert. mate	nth Bert. mate	
1 different	2 different = 2 ²	4 different = 2 ²	8 different = 2 ³	16 different	.. 2 ⁿ different	
				< sst < tss < sst < tss < sst < tss < sst < tss < sst < tss < sst < tss < sst < tss < sst < tss		(39)
tss <	sst tss	< sst tss < sst tss	< sst tss < sst tss < sst tss			
<i>spacelike Bert. curve with timelike normal</i>	<i>Bert mate</i>	<i>2nd Bert mate</i>	<i>3rd Bert mate</i>	<i>4th Bert mate</i>	<i>nth Ber mate</i>	
sts	→ sts	→ sts	→ sts	→ sts	→ sts	

Proof. If α is a timelike Bertrand curve, it has timelike tangent vector T , and normal N and binormal B are spacelike.

$$\left\{ \begin{matrix} T \text{ timelike} & N \text{ spacelike} & B \text{ spacelike} \\ t & s & s \end{matrix} \right\} \tag{40}$$

Also since $N = N_B$, N_B is spacelike hence normal N_B and binormal B_B can be timelike or spacelike. and $N = N_B$, $\langle T_B, N \rangle = 0$. Hence

$$\left\{ \begin{matrix} \text{Tangent } T_B & \text{Normal } N_B & \text{Binormal } B_B \\ s & s & t \\ t & s & s \end{matrix} \right\} \tag{41}$$

are the forms of the casual characteristics of Bertrand mate. The Bertrand mate of a timelike Bertrand curve is either spacelike curve with timelike binormal or timelike curve, and we will show this result as in the following way;

$$\begin{matrix} \text{Bertrand} & \text{Bertrand mate} \\ \text{tss} & < \begin{matrix} sst \\ tss \end{matrix} \end{matrix} \tag{42}$$

For a *spacelike* Bertrand curve with *timelike* binormal vector as in

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} T \text{ spacelike} & N \text{ spacelike} & B \text{ timelike} \\ s & s & t \end{array} \right\} \tag{43}$$

it is trivial that a *spacelike* curve has *spacelike* tangent vector T , with *timelike* binormal B and is *spacelike* normal N , since $N = N_B$, normal N_B is always *spacelike*, and

$$N = N_B, \quad \langle T_B, N \rangle = 0 \tag{44}$$

hence and *Binormal* can be *timelike* or *spacelike*, it is trivial

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} \text{Tangent } T_B & \text{Normal } N_B & \text{Binormal } B_B \\ s & s & t \\ t & s & s \end{array} \right\} \tag{45}$$

Hence the Bertrand mate of a *spacelike* Bertrand curve with *timelike* binormal vector B , is either *timelike* curve or *spacelike* curve with *timelike* binormal. They have following casual charastics;

Bertrand	Bertrand mate	
sst	$<$	$\begin{matrix} sst \\ tss \end{matrix}$

(46)

For a *spacelike* Bertrand curve with *timelike* normal as in

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} T \text{ spacelike} & N \text{ timelike} & B \text{ spacelike} \\ s & t & s \end{array} \right\} \tag{47}$$

Bertrand mate of a *spacelike* Bertrand curve with *timelike* normal N curve since and $N = N_B$, $\langle T_B, N \rangle = 0$ and Normal N_B is always *timelike*, also T_B is *spacelike*, hence Bertrand mate is *spacelike*, we have

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} T_B \text{ spacelike} & N_B \text{ timelike} & B_B \text{ spacelike} \\ s & t & s \end{array} \right\} \tag{48}$$

Bertrand mate of a *spacelike* Bertrand curve with *timelike* normal vector is always *spacelike* curve with *timelike* normal as in following casual charastics;

Bertrand curve	Bertrand mate	
sts	\rightarrow	sts

(49)

Using the 42, 46 and 49, we have the following table

timelike Bert. curve	Bert. mate	
tss	$<$	$\begin{matrix} sst \\ sts \end{matrix}$
spacelike Bert. curve		
timelike binormal	$<$	$\begin{matrix} sst \\ sts \end{matrix}$
sst		(50)
spacelike Bert. curve	<i>Bert. mate</i>	
timelike normal		
sts	\rightarrow	sts

The casual characteristics of second order *Bertrand mate* of a *non – null* Bertrand curve is trivial from the 42, 46 and 49

<p>timelike Bert. curve</p> <p>$tss <$</p> <p>spacelike Bert. curve</p> <p>timelike binormal sst</p> <p>spacelike Bert. curve</p> <p>timelike normal sts</p>	<p>Bert. mate 2 different</p> <p>sst sts</p> <p>sst sts</p> <p>1 Bert. mate</p> <p>sts</p>	<p>2nd Bert. mate 4 different</p> <p>$sst <$ tss</p> <p>$tss <$ tss</p> <p>$sst <$ tss</p> <p>$tss <$ tss</p> <p>1 Bert. mate</p> <p>sts</p>	<p>(51)</p>
-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------

□

References

[1] Caliskan, M., & Bilici, M. (2002). Some characterizations for the pair of involute-evolute curves in Euclidean space E^3 . Bulletin of Pure and Applied Sciences, 21(2), 289-294.

[2] Hacısalihoğlu H. H. (1994) Differential Geometry(in Turkish), Academic Press Inc. Ankara.

[3] Kılıçoğlu, Ş., & Şenyurt, S. (2017). On the second order involute curves in E^3 . Communications Faculty of Sciences University of Ankara Series A1 Mathematics and Statistics, 66(2), 332-339.

[4] Hoschek, J. (1971) Liniengeometrie, BI-Hochschulschriften, Zurich.

[5] Kılıçoğlu, S., & Şenyurt, S. (2017). On the Second Order Mannheim Partner Curve in E^3 . Mathematical Combinatorics, 1, 71-77.

[6] Lipschutz, M. M. (1969). Schaum’s Outlines of Differential Geometry McGraw-Hill. Inc. USA.

[7] Eren, K., Yesmakhanova, K., Ersoy, S., & Myrzakulov, R. (2022). Involute evolute curve family induced by the coupled dispersionless equations. Optik, 270, 169915.

[8] Schief, W. K. (2003). On the integrability of Bertrand curves and Razzaboni surfaces. Journal of Geometry and Physics, 45(1-2), 130-150.

[9] Eren, K. (2023). Forming coupled dispersionless equations of families of Bertrand curves. Turkish Journal of Mathematics, 47(1), 87-97.

[10] Liu, H., & Wang, F. (2008). Mannheim partner curves in 3-space. Journal of Geometry, 88(1-2), 120-126.

[11] O’neill, B. (1983). Semi-Riemannian geometry with applications to relativity. Academic press.

[12] Orbay, K., & Kasap, E. (2009). On Mannheim partner curves in E^3 . International Journal of Physical Sciences, 4(5), 261-264.